



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,233	10/632,233 07/30/2003		David F. Olker	200311687-1	2546
22879	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
HEWLET1	PACKA	RD COMPANY	MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
		4 E. HARMONY R OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT COL	LINS, CO	80527-2400	2165		
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	_	10/632,233	OLKER ET AL.						
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165						
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	idress -					
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REPL'ER, FROM THE MAILING D. lable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 mailing date of this communication. It do above, the maximum statutory period of extended period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailing See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•					
Status									
1) Responsive to cor	mmunication(s) filed on								
2a) ☐ This action is FIN		_· action is non-final.							
/ 									
<i>'</i> — ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims			,						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-48 is/a	re pending in the application								
	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.								
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
,— ,,		r diodion roquiroment.							
Application Papers									
,	s objected to by the Examine								
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.									
, ,	equest that any objection to the		• •						
•	ng sheet(s) including the correct	·	• • •	, ,					
11) The oath or declar	ation is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P	ГО-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)									
1) X Notice of References Cited (Summary (PTO-413)						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Pat Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)					
- apo to(o). than bate	- *								

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-48 are presented for examination and are pending.

Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on July 30, 2003 are acceptable for examination proceedings. These are informal drawings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete.

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)...

Art Unit: 2165

a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreoqnotice%2Fquidelines101 20051026.pdf)

The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that abstractions are not patentable. "An idea of itself is not patentable". Rubber-Tip Pencil Co. V. Howard, 20 Wall. 498, 07. Phenomena of nature, though just discovered, mental processes, abstract intellectual concepts are not patentable, as they are the basic tools of scientific and technological work Gottschalk v. Benson, 175 USPQ 673, 675 (S Ct 1972). It is a common place that laws of nature, physical phenomena, and abstract ideas are not patentable subject matter Parker v. Flook, 197 USPQ 193, 201 (S Ct 1978). A process that consists solely of the manipulation of a data structure is not concrete or tangible. See In re Warmerdam, 33 F.3d 1354, 1360, 31 USPQ2d 1754, 1759 (Fed. Cir. 1994). See also Schrader, 22 F.3d at 295, 30 USPQ2d at 1459.

Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,233

Art Unit: 2165

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dana Miloushev et al. (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0133652 Al and Miloushev hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Miloushev teaches migrating tile locks from one server to another comprising: receiving a file lock indicator from a primary server[0135]; recording the file lock indicator[0442]; and conveying the file lock indicator[0442] to an adoptive server when the primary server is unavailable[0273-0274].

Regarding Claim 2, Miloushev teaches monitoring a file lock data-store on a primary server; and retrieving a client file lock indicator from the file lock data-store when a new

Art Unit: 2165

client file lock indicator is detected in the file lock datastore [0166].

Regarding Claim 3, Miloushev teaches monitoring a file lock data-store comprises monitoring a network file system systems monitor directory [0295].

Regarding Claim 4, Miloushev teaches recording the file lock indicator comprises copying a client file lock description file to a predetermined data-store [0077][0158][0163].

Regarding Claim 5, Miloushev teaches the file lock indicator comprises creating a tile lock record according to a client file lock description file [0362][0178][0180].

Regarding Claim 6, Miloushev teaches the file lock indicator to an adoptive server comprises placing a client file lock indicator in a tile lock data-store on an adoptive Server (Figure 23b).

Regarding Claim 7, Miloushev teaches a client file lock indicator in a file lock data-store comprises copying a client file lock description file from a predetermined data-store to a network file system status monitor directory on an adoptive server (Figure 6, #620).

Regarding Claim 8, Miloushev teaches a client file lock indicator in a file lock data-store comprises: retrieving a file lock record; creating a client file lock description file

according to the file lock record; and storing the client tile lock description tile in a network file system system monitor directory on an adoptive server[0082].

Regarding Claim 9, Miloushev forcing the adoptive server to recognize the conveyed file lock indicator [0442].

Regarding Claim 10, Miloushev at least one of restarting a network file system, restarting a network file system file lock manager and its associated file lock monitor and triggering a file lock recovery sequence [0049] [0135].

Regarding Claims 11-48, the limitations of these claims is similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of

Application/Control Number: 10/632,233 Page 7

Art Unit: 2165

the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

oiana Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

January 21, 2005